

No. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

██████████ HWANG,

Petitioner,

v.

██████████ ARITA ET AL.,

Respondents.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

██████████ ███████████ HWANG
██████████
██████████
██████████
██████████
██████████
██████████

Dated: December 3, 2025

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. When and where both hybrid crime and judicial crime exist in such extreme cases including this case, how should the Constitution of the United States and/or the Supreme Court of the United States protect the constitutional rights of such victims (including the petitioner)?
2. When and where such victims (including the petitioner) cannot perform their constitutional rights because of the failure of the function of the rule of law, how should the Constitution of the United States and/or the Supreme Court of the United States protect the constitutional rights of such victims?

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

Petitioner is [REDACTED] HWANG, an individual who appeared as plaintiff-appellant in the courts below. Respondents are [REDACTED] ARITA, TENET HEALTHCARE CORPORATION (“SAINT FRANCIS HOSPITAL-MEMPHIS”), AND CITY OF MEMPHIS (“Memphis Police Department” and “Memphis Fire Department”), who appeared as defendants-appellees in the courts below.

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Petitioner is an individual and not a corporation. No corporate disclosure statement is required.

LIST OF ALL RELATED PROCEEDINGS IN STATE AND FEDERAL COURTS

1. THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE, No. W2023-01703-SC-R11-CV, [REDACTED] HWANG v. [REDACTED] ARITA ET AL., Opinion-Filed: September 10, 2025 (09/10/2025).
2. THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE, No. W2023-01703-COA-R3-CV, [REDACTED] HWANG v. [REDACTED] ARITA ET AL., Opinion-Filed: May 30, 2025 (05/30/2025).
3. THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR SHELBY COUNTY OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE AT MEMPHIS, No. CT-4094-20, [REDACTED] HWANG VS [REDACTED] ARITA ET AL., Order-Filed: February 24, 2025 (02/24/2025) (by judge HIGGINS, HONORABLE [REDACTED]), Order-Filed: November 3, 2023, (11/03/2023) (by judge CORBIN-JOHNSON, HONORABLE [REDACTED]).
4. THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT, [REDACTED] HWANG v. [REDACTED] ARITA ET AL., (Note: The petitioner does not have the information about the case number and the filed date in this court.)

5. THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT — WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE, No. 2:20-cv-02904-SHL-atc, [REDACTED] HWANG v. [REDACTED] ARITA ET AL., Filed: August 20, 2021 (08/20, 2021).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Questions Presented [2]

Parties to the Proceeding [3]

Corporate Disclosure Statement [3]

List of All Related Proceedings in State and Federal Courts [3]

Table of Contents [4]

Table of Authorities [5]

Petition for Writ of Certiorari [6]

Opinions Below [6]

Citations [6]

Jurisdiction [6]

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved [7]

Statement of the Case [8]

Reasons for Granting the Writ [8]

Conclusion [9]

Certificate of Service..... [10]

Certificate of Compliance..... [10]

Note..... [10]

Appendix [11]

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Statutes

18 U.S.C. § 3771 provides: ‘Crime Victims' Rights’. 8, 9

34 U.S.C. § 20141 provides: Victims' Rights and Restitution Act (VRRRA). 8, 9

42 U.S.C. § 1395dd provides: Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (EMTALA).
..... 8, 9

42 U.S.C. Subchapter XI, Part C provides: Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act
(HIPAA). 8, 9

18 U.S.C. § 1503 provides: ‘Obstruction of justice’ 8, 9

18 U.S.C. § 1341, 1343, 1346 provides: ‘Frauds and swindles’, ‘Mail/Wire fraud + Honest services
fraud’ 8, 9

18 U.S.C. § 241 provides: ‘Conspiracy against rights’ 8, 9

18 U.S.C. § 201 provides: ‘Bribery of public officials and witnesses’ 8, 9

18 U.S.C. Ch. 11 provides: ‘Bribery, Graft, and Conflicts of Interest’ 8, 9

18 U.S.C. § 242 provides: ‘Deprivation of rights under color of law’ 8, 9

Constitutional Provisions

Preamble to the U.S. Constitution provides: “establish Justice”. 8, 9

U.S. Const. amend. VII. provides: “the right of trial by jury shall be preserved” 8, 9

U.S. Const. amend. IX. provides: “others” 8, 9

U.S. Const. amend. XIV. provides: ‘Due Process Clause’, ‘Equal Protection Clause’ 8, 9

I. PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

██████████ Hwang petitions the Court for a writ of certiorari to review the judgment (“opinion”) of the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee and other lower courts.

II. OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee is attached in the appendix.

The petitioner does not have the information whether the opinion of the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee is reported or unpublished. Therefore, the petitioner does not have the information about the citation.

III. CITATIONS

The petitioner does not have the information in terms of “Citations” (Rule 14.1(d)), “Citations of the official and unofficial reports of the opinions and orders entered in the case by courts or administrative agencies.” However, the opinion of the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee is attached in the appendix.

IV. JURISDICTION

The judgment of the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee was entered or filed on or about September 10, 2025 (09/10/2025). A petition for rehearing was NOT filed. (Such petition could not be filed because of the constant crime or/and ‘probable causes’ which continued to exist in this case.) This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a). This petition is filed within 90 days of

the entry of judgment, as required by Supreme Court Rule 13. This petition is NOT filed under this Court's Rule 11. In terms of Rule 14.1(e)(v), the petitioner makes his statement that the notifications required by Rule 29.4(b) or (c) have NOT been made. The petitioner has no issues in terms of Rule 29.4(b). However, the petitioner has issues in terms of Rule 29.4(c). However, the petitioner has not been in a situation to raise issues because of the alleged constant crime and its circumstances. Therefore, such notifications had NOT been made by the petitioner.

V. CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

This case is about justice, law, the rule of law, the constitutional rights, and the protection of such constitutional rights of individuals in the United States. Here are some of the essential parts hereinafter.

Statues

18 U.S.C. § 241:

If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States, or because of his having so exercised the same; or If two or more persons go in disguise on the highway, or on the premises of another, with intent to prevent or hinder his free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege so secured— They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, they shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.

Constitutional Provisions

Preamble to the U.S. Constitution:

We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defence, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.

U.S. Const. amend. VII:

In Suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury shall be otherwise reexamined in any Court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

VI. STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This case arises from a civil action alleging the petitioner had a car accident which happened on October 5, 2019 (10/05/2019). The civil complaint was filed on October 1, 2020 (10/01/2020). The opinion of the Supreme Court of the State of Tennessee was filed on September 10, 2025 (09/10/2025). This case was adjudicated in five (5) lower courts. However, the petitioner could not have a fair opportunity to prosecute his case not because of the lack of his will and efforts but because of the hybrid crime and judicial crime. The petitioner alleges that there have been, in this case from 2019 to 2025, constant crime, white-collar crime, physical crime, litigation crime, litigation interferences, and so on. The petitioner alleges that this case and the decision below raises nationwide important questions of the Constitution of the United States, Federal laws, and the protection of the constitutional rights of such victims (including the petitioner) that warrant this Court's review.

VII. REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

This case presents an important federal question that should be settled by this Court. Hybrid crime and judicial crime produce the failure of the function of the rule of law. It is extremely serious

problem in the United States. This matter has great importance nationwide. Transportation, automobiles, and insurance are indispensable in the American way of life. When and where such victims including the petitioner cannot perform their constitutional rights because of the hybrid crime and judicial crime, and because of the failure of the function of the rule of law, the lofty ideals of the Constitution of the United States collapses disastrously or grisly. If such matters including this case are not dealt with by the Supreme Court of the United States, such wretchedness will continue to exist and prevail in the United States. Therefore, we need to resolve this once and for all.

VIII. CONCLUSION AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF

1. For the reasons stated above, the petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.
2. If it is not granted, the petitioner request the Court to “hibernate” this case for ten (10) years or such time. During the hibernation, the enforcement of this case will be stayed and the petitioner will perform investigative journalism and other special activities in order to collect and present additional evidence in terms of such alleged hybrid crime and judicial crime even though there are already existing evidence.

Respectfully submitted,

██████████ HWANG, ██████████

Petitioner (Pro Se)

██████████

██████████████████

██████████████████

██████████████████████████████████████

Dated: December 3, 2025 (12/03/2025)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was mailed(or will be mailed),

postage prepaid and/or via electronic mail to: Attorney, [REDACTED] Vincent, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]; Attorney, [REDACTED] Davidson, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]; and Attorney, [REDACTED]

Patterson, [REDACTED]

I hereby certify that I have sent(or will send) a copy of the foregoing electronically or via Email to:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Hwang (Pro Se), Dated: On or about December 3, 2025 (12/03/2025).

[REDACTED]

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify that I tried to comply with the formatting requirements set forth in Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States (Rule 33). Based upon the word count of a word processing system(Apple Pages) and excluding the sections set forth in Rule 33.1(d), this document contains 1,685 words. It contains 8,691 characters without spaces. The total pages of this document are 12 pages that are less than 40 pages (Rule 33.2(b)). I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

[REDACTED] Hwang (Pro Se), Dated: December 3, 2025 (12/03/2025).

[REDACTED]

NOTE

1. Petitioner could not collect the necessary details in this case because of the constant crime and probable causes until as of this moment. Instead, petitioner can try to put some information in the newspapers hereinafter when he can. (The Tennessee Times, <https://tennesseetimes.org/ct-4094-20>, The Memphis Times, <https://memphistimes.org/ct-4094-20>).

2. The petitioner is Chairman of AmericanTV(<https://americantv.org>) and Publisher of the American Newspaper(<https://americannewspaper.org>).

APPENDIX

App. 1: Opinion of THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF TENNESSEE AT JACKSON (Opinion-Filed: September 10, 2025 (09/10/2025)).

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TENNESSEE
AT JACKSON

FILED
09/10/2025
Clerk of the
Appellate Courts

██████████ **HWANG** v. ██████████ **ARITA ET AL.**

Circuit Court for Shelby County
No. CT-4094-20

No. W2023-01703-SC-R11-CV

ORDER

Upon consideration of the application for permission to appeal of ██████████ Hwang and the record before us, the application is denied. We further find that the application is frivolous and award appellee ██████████ Arita his reasonable attorney's fees and expenses incurred in responding to the application as damages for a frivolous appeal pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated section 27-1-122. The case is remanded to the trial court for a determination of the amount of damages and any further necessary proceedings.

PER CURIAM